

# Formal Semantics for Modeling Collaborative Business Processes based on Interaction Protocols

Dr. Emiliano Reynares, Dr. Jorge Roa, Dra. María Laura Caliusco, Dr. Pablo Villarreal



## OUTLINE

- i. Context
- ii. Problem
- iii. Goal & contributions overview
- iv. Background (UP-ColBPIP & OntoUML overview)
- v. Contributions
- vi. Conclusions
- vii. Future works

CIDISI



### (i) A bit of context

- ✓ In cross-organizational collaborative business processes (CBPs), the focus should be:
  - ✓ on the representation of the information exchange, and
  - $\checkmark$  on the representation of the communication aspects.
- ✓ The UP-ColBPIP language models CBPs as interaction protocols,
  - i.e., describing a choreography of business messages based on speech acts.
- So, decisions and commitments between organizations can be known from the semantics of the speech acts.



#### (ii) And the problem

One of the most important issues in the modeling of CBPs through interaction protocols is to know the semantics of speech acts and their appropriate combinations to perform suitable negotiations and commitments

But...

There are no formal representations for the static aspects of CBPs yet





#### (iii) Goal and contributions (overview)

#### Goal

To provide a formal representation of the structural view of CBPs

#### Contributions

- (1) A formal semantics for the Interaction Protocols View of UP-ColBPIP, which considers:
  - ✓ the language constructs
  - ✓ the speech acts
- (2) An ontology that implements such a formal model

#### (iv) Background (UP-ColBPIP)

- ✓ it's a language to model technology-independent collaborative processes based on interaction protocols
- ✓ the coordination and communication aspects of the interactions are represented by enhancing the semantics of business messages by means of the speech act theory
- ✓ No organizations or consortiums provide standard semantics for speech acts.
  UP-ColBPIP applies the FIPA ACL library for this purpose.

#### (iv) Background (UP-ColBPIP)

CIDISI



Graphical notations of the main interaction protocol elements

### (iv) Background (OntoUML)

- ✓ OntoUML is a language for ontology-driven conceptual modeling whose constructs represents the UFO's ontological distinctions and the constraints on how these constructs can be combined.
- ✓ UFO is used to provide useful constraints and modeling guidelines, *leading to* ontologically well-founded conceptual models.
- ✓ the resulting conceptual models consist of a collection of types of individuals in the subject domain, where each of these domain types instantiate types in the UFO (e.g. kind, subkind, role, phase, etc.).



(v) Contributions

- We defined an ontologically well-founded conceptual model of the Interaction Protocols View of UP-ColBPIP that allows the specification of the behavior of CBPs using interaction protocols, and
- ✓ We defined a taxonomy for the standard FIPA-ACL which represents the "intention" of a business message among the partners.
- ✓ We implement the conceptual model as an OWL ontology



#### (v) Contributions

**CIDISI** 





#### (v) Contributions

CIDISI



Interaction protocol elements of UP-ColBPIP



#### (v) Contributions



Taxonomy of speech acts of FIPA-ACL





#### (vi) Conclusions

All proposals for ontological formalization of business processes *focus on the ontological representation of private processes* in Business Process Diagrams, and they *do not provide semantics* for the elements related with the modeling of CBPs *in terms of process choreographies*.

As opposed...

We present an approach to add semantics to the constructs of the Interaction Protocols View of the UP-ColBPIP language, which allows the definition of queries and the execution of reasoning services over a given instance of the ontology representing a CBP.



### (vii) Future work

- (1) To build a semantic verification tool that enables the semantic analysis of speech act-based messages in interaction protocols.
- (2) To apply the approach on the *generation of the private business processes of the organizations from a CBP*, keeping consistency and conformance about the agreed behavior of the collaboration.
- (3) To asses a combination *of property graph processing and structured queries* for checking structural model properties.
- (4) To *apply the conceptual models proposed in this work to BPMN* by extending choreography diagrams with interaction protocols and speech acts.

# Questions? Thanks!

Contact:

Dr. EMILIANO REYNARES - ereynares@frsf.utn.edu.ar Dr. JORGE ROA - jroa@frsf.utn.edu.ar Dra. MARIA LAURA CALIUSCO - mcaliusc@frsf.utn.edu.ar Dr. PABLO VILLARREAL - pvillarr@frsf.utn.edu.ar

CIDISI